Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/597,794	KUMAGAI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MICHAEL OSINSKI	2622
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>N/A</u>	
(1) <u>MICHAEL OSINSKI</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Amol Kavathekar</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 13 April 2011	Time : <u>12:00pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner suggested changing the claim language to correct grammatical and antecedent basis issues found in newly presented claim 12. Applicant's representative agreed to allow the Examiner to make the changes in an Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Jason Chan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2622 /MICHAEL OSINSKI/ Examiner, Art Unit 2622 (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)